## Case3:96-cv-04179-TEH Document1828 Filed04/15/13 Page1 of 4

1	Kamala D. Harris	William S. Koski, Esq., SBN 166061	
2	Attorney General of California ISMAEL A. CASTRO	Carly J. Munson, Esq., SBN 254598 STANFORD LAW SCHOOL	
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	YOUTH & EDUCATION LAW PROJECT MILLS LEGAL CLINIC	
3	LISA A. TILLMAN, SBN 126424 R. MATTHEW WISE, SBN 238485	559 Nathan Abbott Way	
4	Deputy Attorneys General 1300 I Street, Suite 125	Stanford, CA 94305-8610 Telephone: (650) 724-3718	
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	Facsimile: (650) 723-4426 Email: bkoski@stanford.edu	
6	Telephone: (916) 327-7872	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
7	Fax: (916) 324-5567 E-mail: Lisa.Tillman@doj.ca.gov	Arlene B. Mayerson, Esq., SBN 79310	
8	Attorneys for Defendant Department of Education	Larisa M. Cummings, Esq., SBN131076 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION &	
9	JOHN C. BEIERS	Defense Fund, Inc. 2212 Sixth Street	
	COUNTY COUNSEL (SBN 144282)	Berkeley, CA 94710	
10	BY: AIMEE B. ARMSBY, DEPUTY (SBN 226967) Hall of Justice and Records	Telephone: (510) 644-2555 Facsimile: (510) 841-8645	
11	400 County Center, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor Redwood City, CA 94063	Emails: <u>amayerson@dredf.org</u> lcummings@dredf.org	
12	Telephone: (650) 363-4647  Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
13	Facsimile: (650) 363-4034 Email: aarmsby@co.sanmateo.ca.us		
14	Attorneys for Defendant Ravenswood City School District and Related Defendants		
15			
16	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18			
19			
20	EMMA C.,	3:96-cv-04179-TEH	
21	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION RE. AMENDMENT OF DISPUTE	
22	v.	RESOLUTION TIMELINES IN FIFTH	
23		JOINT STATEMENT	
24	DELAINE EASTIN, ET AL.,	Judge: The Honorable Thelton E. Henderson	
25	Defendant.		
26			
	The nexting by and through their atternance	agrand in their Eifth Joint Statement to a	
27	The parties, by and through their attorneys, agreed in their Fifth Joint Statement to a		
28	framework for dispute resolution for challenges to (1) CDE's state-level monitoring system, and		
	Loint Stimulation P.a. A mondment of Dispute Possibilities in Fifth Joint Statement (2:06 ev. 04170 TEU)		

(2) the SESR Findings and Corrective Actions Statement. (Court Docket [CD] 1799.) As the parties have been engaging in these two processes, they have agreed to amend the framework to allow for the opportunity for a meaningful exchange of information between the parties and with the hope that the issues can be narrowed and/or resolved during the meet-and-confer period. To further these goals, the parties stipulate to the amendment of the following timelines set out in the Fifth Joint Statement:

## A. Dispute Resolution for CDE's State-Level Monitoring System

- 1. Pursuant to IV.B. and VII.C. of the Fifth Joint Statement, the parties were to engage in a meet-and confer discussion within ten days of plaintiffs' January 25, 2013 submission challenging the adequacy and efficacy of the design of CDE's state-level monitoring system. Due to the volume of plaintiffs' objections and CDE's desire for transparency, the parties agreed to the following framework:
  - CDE provided further information about its monitoring system by February 22, 2013.
  - After reviewing CDE's response, plaintiffs submitted written comments and additional questions to CDE by March 8, 2013.
  - After review of plaintiffs' comments and questions, CDE provided a further written response by March 22, 2013.
  - After a brief meet-and-confer on March 28 and 29, 2013, plaintiffs requested, and the
    parties agreed, that plaintiffs will submit their final statement of objections to CDE's
    monitoring system by April 12, 2013.
  - CDE is to provide a response, if any, to plaintiffs' final statement of objections by April 19, 2013.
  - Meet-and-confer discussions are currently scheduled for April 23 and April 25, 2013.
- 2. Pursuant to VII.D. of the Fifth Joint Statement, submission to the Monitor of issues still in dispute is to occur within a week of the expiration of the meet-and-confer period. The parties have agreed that the deadline for this submission is April 26, 2013. However, the parties recognize that meet-and-confer discussions are currently scheduled and likely to continue beyond April 19, 2013, and until the Monitor receives the parties' submissions of issues still in dispute.

1	B. Dispute Resolution for SESR Findings and Corrective Actions Statement		
2	1. Pursuant to II.E. of the Fifth Joint Statement, CDE was to issue the Findings and		
3	Corrective Actions Statement by January 31, 2013. CDE issued this statement on February 1,		
4	2013.		
5	2. Pursuant to V.B. of the Fifth Joint Statement, plaintiffs were to be granted access to		
6	District records within seven days of plaintiffs' February 8, 2013 request. To accommodate the		
7	schedules of the parties, plaintiffs and the District agreed that these records will be available for		
8	plaintiffs' review beginning on April 11, 2013.		
9	3. Pursuant to V.B. of the Fifth Joint Statement, CDE was to provide a written response		
10	within seven days of plaintiffs' February 8, 2013 request for evidence relied upon by CDE as a		
11	basis for conclusions in the SESR Findings and Corrective Actions Statement. CDE provided		
12	this information on March 1, 2013, with a supplemental response (with the CASEMIS data) on		
13	March 7, 2013.		
14	4. Pursuant to VI.A. of the Fifth Joint Statement, the parties are to state objections to the		
15	SESR Findings and Corrective Actions Statement within thirty days of receiving all evidence and		
16	student records. The parties have agreed that this thirty day timeline will begin on April 11,		
17	2013, with the deadline for this submission on May 13, 2013.		
18			
19	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
20	DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION & DEFENSE FUND, INC.		
21	By:/s/ Larisa M. Cummings		
22	Larisa M. Cummings  Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
23	Thiorneys for I tunings		
24	YOUTH AND EDUCATION LAW PROJECT		
25	By:/s/ William S. Koski		
26	William S. Koski  Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
27	nuoi neys for 1 tainiyjs		
28			
	3		

## Case3:96-cv-04179-TEH Document1828 Filed04/15/13 Page4 of 4

1		COUNTY COUNSEL OF THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
2		By:/s/ Aimee Armsby
3		Aimee Armsby
4		Deputy County Counsel  Attorneys for Ravenswood City School District and Related
5		Defendants
6		OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
7		
8		By: <u>/s/ Lisa Tillman</u> Lisa Tillman
9		Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for Defendants Delaine Eastin, Superintendent
10		of Public Instruction, California Department of Education
11		
12		TES DISTRICT
13	SA2005104070 11065013.doc	4/15/2013
14		S ORDERED 3
15		IT IS SO ORDERED
16		Judge Thelton E. Henderson
17		
18		DISTRICT OF CE
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		4
		т